

compounded by the likely sense of abandonment upon the child finding out, as he will in the future, that the father was his only natural parent.

 viii) The CAFCASS officer's conclusion that this was an evenly balanced case was not accepted by Wood J.

 ix) Wood J considered mirror orders which could be obtained in Hong Kong. Although giving some confidence as to enforceability, he did not consider that they would provide sufficient certainty for the father.

 x) Wood J approved the father's application for contact three nights a week, this having been the level of time the child had spent with the father in the months leading up to the final hearing. No issues were raised about the father's ability to care for the child and the CAFCASS Officer reported a close bond between the child and father.</p><p> Consideration of a mother's application to relocate the child to Hong Kong. Application refused.</p>

Permission

Permission

Lawtel 

To read the judgment, please click [here](#).